



Phantom XML

- if you look too hard it isn't there

Kristoffer H. Rose Lionel Villard

XML 2005, Atlanta

November 22, 2005

© 2005 IBM Corporation



Overview

- Motivation
- Phantomization
- XML Processing
- Experiments
- Conclusion & perspectives

IBM

Motivation

A lot of heterogeneous data formats out there

- Relational, binary, semi-structured, structured, etc...
- All the formats can be converted to XML

XML processing ubiquitous ...

- but XML syntax by itself is "inefficient"
 - Verbose
 - No indexes

Sometimes access to actual XML data is only through XPath

Why XML (or a semi-structured format)?

Why not relational?

- Difficult to represent some data in unordered indexed tables
- Shredding is complex and in practice lossy (loss of element order, loss of whitespace, etc..)
- Reconstructing original XML involves complex joins (that the programmer needs to write!)

Why not graph?

- No good way to serialize graphs
- No clear scoping

• Why not ...

Existing solutions for XML conversion

Batch conversion

- Good ...
- but legacy applications depending on the old format must be rewritten...
- …and converted data can be big!
- On the fly conversion
 - Good too ...
 - but the standard XML format requires significant overhead for generating or parsing XML character sequences

Existing solutions for XML processing

Batch processing

- Really good solutions for small documents,
- Highly optimized streaming processors exist,
- but need batch conversion of the input

Embedded processing

- JXPath operates on custom in-memory object structures,
- DOM3/JAXP compiles XPath for full in-memory XML data model instances,
- but hard to minimize the memory use

IBM

Goals

- Execute XML processing programs without XML materialization
 - Convert "on-demand" to XML data model
 - Do not even access parts of data not needed for conversion
 - Processing with XPath but also XSLT, XQuery, ...
 - Allow creation and updates
- Allow such processing for any kind of structured and semi-structured data
 - Respect access/update pattern restrictions
 - Exploit optimal access/update patterns

Phantomization

"Just don't build the XML"

Virtualized XML Data Model: Focus

- Our implementation of the XQuery/XPath data model
 - XPath/XSLT 2.0 and XQuery defined on top of this (W3C CR)
 - One interface called Focus
- Cursor-based model
 - The application never has a handle on the data!
 - Enable smart conversion and memory management



Feature

- A feature allows one particular access or update operation (or simple pattern) on the data
 - Access node property (getName(), getValue(), getType(), etc...)
 - Navigation (toChildren(), toAttribute(), toParent(), etc...)
 - Cursor management (duplicate(), free())
 - Mutation (setValue(), addAttribute(), addElement(), etc...)
- Features are dynamic
 - features()
 - duplicate(requestedFeatures)

Profile

- A profile identifies a specific usage pattern and is characterized by a set of features
 - Streaming input: depth-first tree traversal (grammar)
 - Forward-only: reserve axis (toParent(), ...) not allowed
 - Full: all features allowed
 - Streaming output: depth-first tree construction
 - Forward-only output: only append

— ...



Forward only profile

Define a window on the data





Dynamic profiles

Profiles can be changed at any time





Processing

"Be lazy!"

XPath engine for virtual XML – Static time

"Classic" XPath 2.0/XQuery 1.0 compiler

– Parsing => Normalizing (Core XQuery) => Optimizing

In the context on Phantom XML

- Minimize the number of features needed (rewriting)
- Determine which features are needed for processing a given XPath (static analysis)
- Dynamically reduce the number of features needed

Assumptions: no cost model and huge (even infinite) input documents



Minimizing needed features

- Based on rewriting techniques
- Example: Forward-only transformation
 - Remove reverse axis => use the forward only profile
 - Make explicit fragments of document which need to be cached (variable)
 - See "Compiling XPath into a State-less Forward-only Subset"

Example: distinct-doc-order() function removal

- Avoid duplicates removal and sorting
- See "Optimizing Sorting and Duplicate Elimination in XQuery Path Expressions"
- Schema-based rewriting



Schema rewriting

//(africa|europe)/@id

Schema: africa occurs only once and before europe

//simple-union(africa, europe)/@id

At runtime

- Pull processing: otherwise entire conversion is done
- Lazy processing: minimize cursor duplications, caching
- Determine which profile to use for each duplicate
 - The XPath itself (static feature analysis)
 - The requirements of the application



Runtime architecture



Experiment

```
v:parse(v:decode(
    v:unzip('examples.sxw')/
    zip/entry[@name eq 'content.xml']/bytes,
    'UTF-8'
    )
)/*/body[1]/h[3]/text()
```

<office:document-content
xmIns:office="http://openoffice.org/2000/office" ...>

--<office:body>
<office:h> My header </office:h>

Measurements



Conclusion

- XPath/XQuery data model implementation
 - Lightweight: cursor-based
 - Adaptive: feature-based
 - Easy integration of foreign data format: feature completion
- Efficient lazy XPath processor over any kind of data
- Allows dynamic optimizations ala JIT
- No inherent limit on document size
- Try it out on alphaworks: www.alphaworks.ibm.com/tech/virtualxml



Some perspectives

- Richer feature set
- Identifying more used profiles
- More native data formats
 - DFDL: generic Data Format Description Language
 - Relational database
 - EXIF (JPEG, MPEG, etc...)

Cost-model

. . .



www.alphaworks.ibm.com/tech/virtualxml

Backup

Streaming input profile

Document ::= toChildren Sibling free Sibling ::= getName? (getValue | Attributes Children) Attributes ::= duplicate toAttributes (Attribute-traversal)? free Attribute-traversal ::= (getName? getValue? toNext)+ Children ::= duplicate toChildren (Sibling-traversal)? free Sibling-traversal ::= (Sibling toNext)+



Streaming output

Document ::= add-first-child-element Content free Content ::= (add-attribute)* (First to-children (Following to-next)* to-parent)? First ::= add-first-child-text | add-first-child-comment | add-first-child-processing-instruction | add-first-child-element Content Following ::= add-following-sibling-text | add-following-sibling-comment | add-following-sibling-processing-instruction | add-following-sibling-element Content